

**Freedom of Information request reference number:** 8335.1

**Date of response:** 20/02/2024

**Request:**

London Fire Brigade did check for fire risk commercial properties 162,164 and 166 Broadway, London, W13 0TL

**Response:**

Our Fire Safety Regulatory team have checked the records we hold for these properties and confirm fire safety audits was carried out on the 25<sup>th</sup> October 2023 for number 162 and 11<sup>th</sup> October 2023 for number 166. They have confirmed no audits were carried out at number 164.

The result of the Fire safety audit confirmed no significant failure to comply with the Regulatory Reform (FireSafety) Order 2005 (RRO).

As a result the premises was deemed Low risk. This means that no enforcement action (informal or formal) was required as a result and no notices were issued by the LFB.

I attach a copy of the Fire Safety Audit Report to this response.

Please note, personal data has been removed from the attached documents under [section 40 of the FOIA – Personal Information](#).

We have dealt with your request under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. For more information about this process please see the guidance we publish about making a request on our website: <https://www.london-fire.gov.uk/about-us/transparency/request-information-from-us/>



## Fire Safety Audit Report

### Audit Information

**Audited By** [REDACTED]  
**Audit Completed** 25 October 2023

### Location summary

**File No** 27/267562  
**UPRN** 12152624  
**Building Name**  
**Address** 162 BROADWAY  
 WEST EALING  
 W13 0TL  
**Borough** Ealing  
**Use** N - Shop  
**Responsible Team** FSD BRENT & EAL-HHH  
**Station Ground** G25 - Ealing  
**Site Risk Score** 4.00  
**Building Height band** <18  
**Total Floors** 1 **Basement floors** 0  
**Estimated number of sleeping** 0  
**Special Features**

**Additional detail** At time of audit, no dry cleaning processes were taking place.

**Premises Description** Premises is a very small, single storey mid terrace building (approximately 6m x 18m). It is part of a row of similar commercial premises, which are located in front of 3 Victorian terraced houses (converted into flats). Premises has 1x M.O.E (main entrance). Front of premises, is glass fronted. On entering the shop, a row of Industrial dryers are located on the L/H side. Industrial washing machines are located on the R/H side. Service counter is located to the rear of the shop. There is light storage items located behind the counter, and a small staff toilet.

**Exterior Wall Cladding** N/A

**Exterior Wall Insulation** N/A

**Cladding/Insulation details confirmed by** NOT CONFIRMED

**Environmental Risks** NONE

**Features assisting fire spread** NONE

**Site Reinspection date**

**Heritage Building** No

**Balconies present?** No

**Gas Supply present?** Yes

**Petroleum redevelopment?** No

**Known firesetting in area?** No

Site lone worker risk None

## Property Detail (OCCUPIER PROPERTY)

**Occupier Contact** Panache Dry Cleaners  
**Address** 162 BROADWAY  
 WEST EALING  
 W13 0TL

**Responsible team** FSD BRENT & EAL-HHH  
**Occupancy Type** Sole Occupier  
**Property Use** N - Shop  
**Valuation Office** CS5 - Laundrette  
**Original Risk Score** 4.00  
**Reinspection Date** N/A (SAMPLE)  
**Last Inspection** 3 October 2023  
**Total Capacity** 0  
**Maximum number of people** <20  
**Property Size for use** Very Small  
 61m<sup>2</sup> to 130m<sup>2</sup>

**Environmental Risks** NONE  
**Occupant Mobility** Average  
**Fire Loading** Average

**Additional detail**

**Specific lone worker risk** None

**Primary Authority Partnership** N/A

## Protection Data

**Fire Protection & Warning** Adequate  
**Unwanted fire signals count** 0  
**AFD remote monitoring** No  
**Smoke ventilation** N/A  
**Covers MOE/Common areas?** No  
**Sprinklers Installed?** No  
**Access for fire-fighting** Average  
**Water supplies** Average  
**Special Features**

**# Fire fighting shafts** 0  
**Engineered solution?** No  
**Trade off measures?** No  
**Evacuation type** Simultaneous Evacuation  
**History of fires?** No

## Contacts

**Occupier - CHANGED**

<b>Name</b>	Panache Dry Cleaners
<b>Person</b>	[REDACTED]
<b>Position</b>	Manager
<b>Address</b>	Panache (laundrette) 162 BROADWAY WEST EALING W13 0TL

**Enforcement history****Articles****Article 9 - Risk assessment****SAFETY CRITICAL****Safety Evaluation**

Low Risk

Verbal Advice Given

**Observations**

Premises is a very small laundrette (approximately 6m x 18m). At the time of the Audit, the R.P (manager), said that he is the only person who works at the premises. No evidence was provided of a recorded Fire Risk Assessment. However, one has been considered, due to the following being evidenced, at time of Audit:-

- \* 1 x Fire Extinguisher
- \* 1 X M.O.E suitable and sufficient.

Advice given to the R.P to record the Fire Risk Assessment and make copies available upon request.

F.R.A should consider the following:-

- \* Ignition risks (washing machines, dryers)
- \* Means of detection (for business continuation.)

**FAILURE****Article 9(6) FRA findings not recorded**

At the time of the audit no evidence was demonstrated or provided that the significant findings of your fire risk assessment had been recorded.

**REMEDY**

Record the significant findings of the fire risk assessment. In particular the recorded information should include details of :-

- 1) Fire hazards (ignition sources-washing machines/dryers)
- 2) Protective measures (e.g. Means of detection and warning and provision of fire fighting equipment.)

**Article 11 - Fire Safety Arrangements****SAFETY CRITICAL****Safety Evaluation**

Low Risk

Verbal Advice Given

**Observations**

Premises is a very small shop (self service laundrette). R.P is a sole trader, and the only employee.

The only Fire safety provision is a water fire extinguisher.

The R.P has Planned and Organised, and considered the following:-

- \* An E.A.P
- \* M.O.E
- \* FF equipment.
- \* Filters in dryers are cleaned weekly. (possible ignition source)

**Article 11**  
(continued)

No evidence was provided for a recorded F.R.A.  
No maintenance records were provided for the Fire Extinguisher.

Advice given to the R.P to use a competent person to maintain the fire extinguisher, and to record the F.R.A

**FAILURE**

**Article 11 FS arrangements not maintained**

At the time of the audit your preventative and protective measures had not been planned, organised, controlled monitored or reviewed where required. It was found that :-

- 1) You have not planned or organised to record the Fire Risk Assessment.
- 2) You have not Planned or Organised to maintain the Fire Extinguisher. This should be carried out in accordance with BS 5306.

**REMEDY**

Arrangements identified as not suitably addressed must be effectively planned, organised, controlled, monitored or reviewed.

**Article 13 - Detection and warning**

---

**SAFETY CRITICAL**  
**Safety Evaluation**  
Broadly Compliant

Verbal Advice Given

**Observations**

Premises is very small (approximately 6m x 18m), and open plan.

- \* A shout of fire would suffice. All relevant persons would be warned in the event of a fire.
- \* No automatic fire detection was evidenced.

Advice given to the R.P to consider Automatic Fire Detection for business continuity.

**Article 14 - Emergency routes and exits**

---

**SAFETY CRITICAL**  
**Safety Evaluation**  
Broadly Compliant

Verbal Advice Given

**Observations**

Premises is a very small open plan Launderette (6m x 18m).

- \* 1X M.O.E (main entrance), suitable and sufficient. Recommended maximum travel distance is not exceeded.
- \* All relevant persons will be able to escape quickly and safely in an emergency.
- \* Main exit width is suitable and sufficient for the maximum number of persons using it (less than 20)
- \* Premises is glass fronted, and afforded adequate borrowed light from street lighting. E/L is not required.
- \* M.O.E was clear and free from obstruction at time of audit.

**Article 15 - Procedures for serious and imminent danger and for danger areas**

---

**SAFETY CRITICAL**  
**Safety Evaluation**  
Broadly Compliant

Verbal Advice Given

**Observations**

Premises is a very small self service launderette. The R.P is the only employee. The R.P knows how to:-

- \* Raise the alarm (shout fire)
- \* Carry out suitable shutdown procedures (turn off electricity & gas).
- \* Carry out suitable sweep (open plan, simple layout)

**Article 15**  
(continued)

- \* Location of suitable assembly point
- \* Call the emergency services (dial 999).

Advice given to the R.P to consider a fire action notice to remind customers of actions needed to be taken, in a fire emergency.

**Article 17 - Maintenance****SAFETY CRITICAL**  
**Safety Evaluation**  
Broadly Compliant**Observations**

At the time of the audit, the only fire safety provision was a fire extinguisher.

- \* No A.F.D
- \* No Fire Doors (very small, open plan premises).
- \* No E.L

Fire extinguisher was suitably charged, and visually in good condition.

**Article 21 - Training****SAFETY CRITICAL**  
**Safety Evaluation**  
Broadly Compliant**Observations**

At the time of the audit, the R.P is the only employee at the premises. Premises is a very small launderette.

- \* R.P has a basic knowledge of fire safety.

**Article 8 - General fire precautions****Safety Evaluation**  
Broadly Compliant**Observations**

At the time of the audit, there were no gaps, holes or breaches in the compartmentation.

- \* Premises is a single storey, small premises, with a flat roof.

**Article 10 - Principles of prevention to be applied****Safety Evaluation**  
Broadly Compliant**Observations**

A no-smoking policy is in place for the premises.

**Article 12 - Elimination or reduction of risks from dangerous substances****Safety Evaluation**  
Broadly Compliant**Observations**

At the time of the audit, there were no dangerous substances on the premises

**Article 13 - Fire Fighting Equipment****Safety Evaluation**  
Broadly Compliant**Observations**

The following was evidenced:-

Verbal Advice Given

- \* 1 x Fire Extinguisher (water)

Advice given to the R.P to consider a Co2 F.E, due to the electrical risk from the Dryers & Washing machines.

## Article 16 - Measures for dealing with dangerous substances affecting general fire precautions

---

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Broadly Compliant	None

## Article 18 - Safety assistance

---

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Broadly Compliant	None

## Article 19 - Provision of information to employees

---

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Broadly Compliant	None

## Article 20 - Provision of information to employers and the self employed from outside undertakings

---

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Broadly Compliant	None

## Article 22 - Co-operation and co-ordination

---

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Broadly Compliant	R.P has sole responsibility for the premises.

## Article 23 - General duties of employees at work

---

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Broadly Compliant	None

## Article 37 - Fire fighters switches for luminous tube signs

---

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Broadly Compliant	None

## Article 38 - Maintenance of measures provided for protection of fire fighters

---

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Broadly Compliant	None

**Article 24 - Power to make regulations**

<b>Safety Evaluation</b>	<b>Observations</b>
Not Applicable	None

**Article 27 - Powers of inspectors**

<b>Safety Evaluation</b>	<b>Observations</b>
No (Compliant)	None

**Article 29 - Current alterations notices**

<b>Safety Evaluation</b>	<b>Observations</b>
No (Compliant)	None

**Article 30 - Current enforcement notices**

<b>Safety Evaluation</b>	<b>Observations</b>
No (Compliant)	None

**Article 31 - Current prohibition notices**

<b>Safety Evaluation</b>	<b>Observations</b>
No (Compliant)	None

**Article 32 - Offences**

<b>Safety Evaluation</b>	<b>Observations</b>
No (Compliant)	None

**Overall safety standard**

Low Risk

**Management compliance level**

<b>Management Compliance Level</b>	1 - Well above average
<b>Initial Expectation</b>	Verbal action
<b>Considered EMM?</b>	Yes
<b>Confirmed Action</b>	Verbal action



## Audit Conclusion

### Date audit carried out

09/10/2023

### Time of audit

11:00

### Reason for audit;

Fire safety concerns from residents in converted flats behind premises.

### Conclusion

I attended Panache (dry cleaners) 162 Broadway, West Ealing, W13 0TL, on 09/10/2023. This was a demand led FSO1, following fire safety concerns from residents, living in converted flats behind premises. Pre-audit research was carried as follows:-

- \* Farynor
- \* IMS/BOSS
- \* I-Mapping
- \* FS Portal
- \* LFB Data
- \* Primary Authority
- \* Companies House
- \* Google

The fire safety inspection commenced by carrying out an external 360 to check the façade and access for L.F.B Appliances. Hydrant locations were also checked. I met with the R.P Mr Nabizada and explained the nature of my visit. I explained the audit process, and agreed the extent of the audit (full inspection). The premises is very small with one means of escape (main entrance at front of shop), it is a self service launderette (no dry cleaning takes place on premises). R.P is a sole trader, and the only employee on the premises. The following was evidenced:-

- \* No evidence of a recorded fire risk assessment
- \* 1 x water fire extinguisher
- \* Emergency action plan in place
- \* No Automatic fire detection. (shout of fire will suffice).

R.P has basic fire safety awareness. No fire safety issues identified, which could affect the residents living behind the premises. End of audit.

### Extent of premises audited

Full inspection of premises was carried out.

### Justification of audit outcome

Verbal advice given to the R.P on the following

- \* Plan and organise a recorded fire risk assessment.
- \* Consider automatic fire detection for business continuity.
- \* Consider a CO2 extinguisher (electrical appliances)
- \* Fire extinguisher needs to be maintained by a competent person annually.
- \* Consider fire action notice.

I agreed with the R.P on the outcome of Low risk/verbal advice for the audit.

**Verbal Advice Given**

None recorded

**Other Authorities to notify**

None

**Weeks to Complete Work**

N/A

**Specific instructions for Admin to Action**

None

**Compliance calculation & signature**

<b>Compliance Level</b>	1 - Well above average
<b>Property Risk Group</b>	C - Public unfamiliar
<b>Life Risk</b>	-6.9
<b>Actual Risk Score</b>	3.96
<b>Risk Score</b>	4.00

**Audit Timings**

**Audit Duration**  
60

**Travel Time**  
90

**Post Audit Processing Duration**  
180



# Fire Safety Audit Report

## Audit Information

<b>Audited By</b>	[REDACTED]
<b>Audit Completed</b>	11 October 2023

## Location summary

<b>File No</b>	27/006423
<b>UPRN</b>	12072289
<b>Building Name</b>	
<b>Address</b>	166 BROADWAY WEST EALING W13 0TL
<b>Borough</b>	Ealing
<b>Use</b>	N - Shop
<b>Responsible Team</b>	FSD BRENT & EAL-HHH
<b>Station Ground</b>	G25 - Ealing
<b>Site Risk Score</b>	4.25
<b>Building Height band</b>	<18
<b>Total Floors</b>	1 <b>Basement floors</b> 0
<b>Estimated number of sleeping</b>	0
<b>Special Features</b>	

### Additional detail

Premises is part of a row of 4 single storey commercial spaces. To the rear are three terraced houses of three floors, converted into flats. There are 3 separate entrances to these, located at street level, next to the commercial premises. Their entrance hallways run along the side of the commercial premises (protected route). All four commercial premises have 1 x M.O.E to the front of the shops, and no rear access.

### Premises Description

Premises is a small single storey, mid terrace shop of traditional construction (brick walls/concrete floor) Approximately 7 metres x 16 metres. There is 1x M.O.E (main entrance), and front of shop is glass fronted. On entrance is a small waiting area for customers. Serving counter runs the length of the shop. To the rear of the shop (access via an open archway), is a staff toilet/machine for cutting potatoes, and small open top fridge/freezer.

GAS isolation is at floor level (boxed in), to the front L/H side of premises. Run length for the extraction unit is short, at exits on the flat roof, above the shop.

<b>Exterior Wall Cladding</b>	N/A
<b>Exterior Wall Insulation</b>	N/A
<b>Cladding/Insulation details confirmed by</b>	NOT CONFIRMED
<b>Environmental Risks</b>	NONE
<b>Features assisting fire spread</b>	NONE

**Site Reinspection date**

<b>Heritage Building</b>	No
<b>Balconies present?</b>	No
<b>Gas Supply present?</b>	Yes
<b>Petroleum redevelopment?</b>	No
<b>Known firesetting in area?</b>	No
<b>Site lone worker risk</b>	None

### Property Detail (OCCUPIER PROPERTY)

<b>Occupier Contact</b>	Ocean Fresh
<b>Address</b>	166 BROADWAY WEST EALING W13 0TL
<b>Responsible team</b>	FSD BRENT & EAL-HHH
<b>Occupancy Type</b>	Sole Occupier
<b>Property Use</b>	N - Shop
<b>Valuation Office</b>	CS4 - Kiosk or Shop
<b>Original Risk Score</b>	4.00
<b>Reinspection Date</b>	N/A (SAMPLE)
<b>Last Inspection</b>	11 October 2023
<b>Total Capacity</b>	0
<b>Maximum number of people</b>	<20
<b>Property Size for use</b>	Very Small 61m <sup>2</sup> to 130m <sup>2</sup>
<b>Environmental Risks</b>	NONE
<b>Occupant Mobility</b>	Average
<b>Fire Loading</b>	Average
<b>Additional detail</b>	
<b>Specific lone worker risk</b>	None
<b>Primary Authority Partnership</b>	N/A

### Protection Data

<b>Fire Protection &amp; Warning</b>	Adequate
<b>Unwanted fire signals count</b>	0
<b>AFD remote monitoring</b>	No
<b>Smoke ventilation</b>	N/A
<b>Covers MOE/Common areas?</b>	No
<b>Sprinklers Installed?</b>	No
<b>Access for fire-fighting</b>	Average
<b>Water supplies</b>	Average
<b>Special Features</b>	
<b># Fire fighting shafts</b>	0
<b>Engineered solution?</b>	No
<b>Trade off measures?</b>	No
<b>Evacuation type</b>	Simultaneous Evacuation

History of fires? No

## Contacts

### Occupier - CHANGED

<b>Name</b>	Ocean Fresh
<b>Person</b>	[REDACTED]
<b>Position</b>	Manager
<b>Address</b>	Ocean Fresh (fish&chips) 166 BROADWAY WEST EALING W13 0TL
<b>Email</b>	[REDACTED]

## Enforcement history

## Articles

### Article 9 - Risk assessment

**SAFETY CRITICAL**  
**Safety Evaluation**  
Low Risk

Verbal Advice Given

#### Observations

Premises is a very small fish and chip shop, with two employees. It requires a recorded fire risk assessment.

A F.R.A had been considered due to the following being evidenced:-

- \* 1x M.O.E suitable and sufficient
- \* 1x Fire Blanket
- \* 1x Dry Powder Fire Extinguisher.

Advice given to the R.P to use a competent person to carry out a fire risk assessment. Significant findings to be recorded.

#### FAILURE

#### Article 9(6) FRA findings not recorded

At the time of the audit no evidence was demonstrated or provided that the significant findings of your fire risk assessment had been recorded.

#### REMEDY

Record the significant findings of the fire risk assessment. In particular the recorded information should include details of :-

- 1) Fire hazards (ignition, fuel, oxygen, dangerous substances)
  - 2) Protective measures.
- e.g. Means of detection and warning and provision of fire fighting equipment

### Article 11 - Fire Safety Arrangements

**SAFETY CRITICAL**  
**Safety Evaluation**  
Low Risk

Verbal Advice Given

#### Observations

At the time of the audit, the following was evidenced:-

Shop is very small. with only two employees. Layout of premises is very simple. R.P has considered the following:-

- \* E.A.P has been planned and organised
- \* M.O.E has been planned and organised.
- \* A shout of fire will suffice.

**Article 11**  
(continued)

- \* R.P has considered a F.R.A, but no evidence was produced of a recorded F.R.A.
- \* R.P has failed to plan & Organise effective training around the dangers associated with deep fat fryers.

Advice given to the R.P to Plan & Organise for the following:-

- \* The significant findings of the F.R.A to be recorded
- \* Relevant fire safety training on the safe use of deep fat fryers. Including the safe and correct use of appropriate extinguishing media.

**FAILURE****Article 11 FS arrangements not maintained**

At the time of the audit your preventative and protective measures had not been planned, organised, controlled monitored or reviewed where required. It was found that :-

- 1) You have not planned or organised to record the significant findings of the fire risk assessment.
- 2) Fire safety training on the safe use of deep fat fryers has not been planned or organised.

**REMEDY**

Arrangements identified as not suitably addressed must be effectively planned, organised, controlled, monitored or reviewed.

**Article 13 - Detection and warning**

**SAFETY CRITICAL**  
**Safety Evaluation**  
Broadly Compliant

**Observations**

At the time of the audit, the following was observed.

- \* No Fire Detection. A shout of fire will suffice. Shop is very small. All relevant persons will be warned in the event of a fire.
- \* Shop is open plan, a lone member of staff working in rear of shop, will be aware of a fire breaking out to the front of premises.

Advice given to the R.P to consider fire detection for business continuity.

**Article 14 - Emergency routes and exits**

**SAFETY CRITICAL**  
**Safety Evaluation**  
Broadly Compliant

**Observations**

At the time of the audit, there was effective means of escape for the premises.

- \* 1x M.O.E (main entrance), suitable and sufficient. Travel distance of only 16 metres from rear of store.
- \* All relevant persons will be able to escape quickly and safely in an emergency.
- \* Final exit width is suitable and sufficient for the numbers using it. Less than 20 persons.
- \* No E.L is provided, sufficient borrowed light from street lighting.
- \* Premises is very small, simple layout.
- \* M.O.E was clear and free from obstruction at time of audit.

## Article 15 - Procedures for serious and imminent danger and for danger areas

**SAFETY CRITICAL**  
**Safety Evaluation**  
 Broadly Compliant

### Observations

At the time of the audit, there are adequate procedures in case of serious and imminent danger. There are two employees. R.P confirmed the following:-

Staff know how to:-

- \* Raise the alarm (shout fire)
- \* Carry out suitable sweep (small premises) and shutdown procedures (gas isolation at front of premises)
- \* Location of suitable assembly point (corner of street at front of premises.
- \* Call the emergency services (dial 999).

## Article 17 - Maintenance

**SAFETY CRITICAL**  
**Safety Evaluation**  
 Broadly Compliant

### Observations

At the time of the audit, the following was evidenced:-

- \* No A.F.D
- \* No E.L
- \* 1 X Dry Powder Fire Extinguisher.

R.P confirmed that he uses competent persons to maintain the fire extinguisher.

## Article 21 - Training

**SAFETY CRITICAL**  
**Safety Evaluation**  
 Low Risk  
 Verbal Advice Given

### Observations

At the time of the audit, the R.P confirmed that there were only two employees (including himself). R.P when questioned, understood basic fire safety matters, including an E.A.P.

Advice given to the R.P to consider particle fire safety training, in the use of fire extinguishers. There is a risk posed by the cooking oil used in deep fat fryers.

### FAILURE

#### Article 21 Inadequate Staff training

At the time of the audit your employees had not been provided with adequate safety training. It was found that :-

- 1) Training has not taken place of the safe use of deep fat fryers on the premises.

### REMEDY

Provide your staff with adequate safety training. In particular :-

- 1) Provide regular updated fire safety training to your staff including the safe use of deep fat fryers.



## Article 8 - General fire precautions

### Safety Evaluation

Low Risk

Verbal Advice Given

### Observations

\* At time of audit, there were no visible gaps, holes or breaches in the compartmentation

\* Canopy hood was visually clean at time of audit. Extraction system has a short run length (approx. 3 metres), and exits at roof level to the premises. No evidence was provided by the R.P of a TR 19 certificate.

Advice give to the R.P to use a competent person to carry out a deep clean of the extraction unit (TR19 certificate).

Records to be kept, and made available upon request.

### FAILURE

#### Article 8 Potential fire spread via shafts, riser

At the time of the audit the general fire precautions required to prevent fire and smoke spread via shafts, risers or ducting were inadequate. It was found that :-

1) No evidence has been provided of a TR19 certificate.

### REMEDY

Take the general fire precautions required to prevent fire and smoke spread by:-

1) ) undertaking a deep clean of the kitchen ducting as outlined in the TR/19 document produced

by the heating and ventilation contractor's association (ISBN number 0903783355). This should be repeated on a periodic basis.

## Article 10 - Principles of prevention to be applied

### Safety Evaluation

Broadly Compliant

### Observations

None

## Article 12 - Elimination or reduction of risks from dangerous substances

### Safety Evaluation

Broadly Compliant

### Observations

At time of audit, there were no dangerous substances on the premises.

## Article 13 - Fire Fighting Equipment

### Safety Evaluation

Broadly Compliant

Verbal Advice Given

### Observations

At the time of the audit, the following was evidenced:-

\* 1x dry powder fire extinguisher.

\* 1 x Fire Blanket

Advice given to the R.P to consider:-

\* Wet chemical fire extinguisher.

\* Fire Extinguishers to be wall mounted with suitable signage.

## Article 16 - Measures for dealing with dangerous substances affecting general fire precautions

---

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Broadly Compliant	None

## Article 18 - Safety assistance

---

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Broadly Compliant	At time of audit, the only fire safety measure was a Dry Powder fire extinguisher. R.P confirmed that he uses competent persons to maintain the fire extinguisher.

## Article 19 - Provision of information to employees

---

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Broadly Compliant	R.P confirmed that there are only two employees for the premises.

## Article 20 - Provision of information to employers and the self employed from outside undertakings

---

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Broadly Compliant	None

## Article 22 - Co-operation and co-ordination

---

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Broadly Compliant	R.P has sole responsibility for the premises.

## Article 23 - General duties of employees at work

---

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Broadly Compliant	None

## Article 37 - Fire fighters switches for luminous tube signs

---

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Broadly Compliant	None

## Article 38 - Maintenance of measures provided for protection of fire fighters

---

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Broadly Compliant	None

**Article 24 - Power to make regulations**

<b>Safety Evaluation</b>	<b>Observations</b>
Not Applicable	None

**Article 27 - Powers of inspectors**

<b>Safety Evaluation</b>	<b>Observations</b>
No (Compliant)	None

**Article 29 - Current alterations notices**

<b>Safety Evaluation</b>	<b>Observations</b>
No (Compliant)	None

**Article 30 - Current enforcement notices**

<b>Safety Evaluation</b>	<b>Observations</b>
No (Compliant)	None

**Article 31 - Current prohibition notices**

<b>Safety Evaluation</b>	<b>Observations</b>
No (Compliant)	None

**Article 32 - Offences**

<b>Safety Evaluation</b>	<b>Observations</b>
No (Compliant)	None

**Overall safety standard**

Low Risk

**Management compliance level**

<b>Management Compliance Level</b>	1 - Well above average
<b>Initial Expectation</b>	Verbal action
<b>Considered EMM?</b>	Yes
<b>Confirmed Action</b>	Verbal action

## Audit Conclusion

### Date audit carried out

05/10/2023

### Time of audit

11:00

### Reason for audit;

A demand led FSO1 carried out after fire safety concerns raised by residents of flats behind the shop.

### Conclusion

I attended Ocean Fresh (fish& chip take away), 166 Broadway, West Ealing, W13 0TL, on 05/10/2023. This was a demand led FSO1 carried out by myself, following fire safety concerns from residents living behind the premises. Pre Audit research was carried out as follows:-

- \* Farynor
- \* IMS/BOSS
- \* LFB Data
- \* F.S. Portal
- \* I-Mapping
- \* Companies House
- \* Primary Authority
- \* Google.

The fire safety inspection commenced by carrying out a 360 to check the façade and access for LFB Appliances. Hydrant Locations were checked. I met with the R.P Saqib Ejaz, and explained the reason for the audit (FSO 2005). I explained the audit process, and the extent of the audit (full inspection). Premises is very small with a simple layout, and 1x M.O.E (main entrance).The following was evidenced:-

- \* Fire risk assessment has been considered, but no evidence was provided, that the significant findings have been recorded.
- \* Extraction system exits on flat roof above premises. No evidence of a TR19 certificate.
- \* Only two employees, who are aware of a basic emergency action plan. However, training does not include the safe use of deep fat fryers, and the use of correct extinguishing media.
- \* No Fire Detection on premises.

Overall, the R.P has a proactive attitude towards fire safety. There were no fire safety issues identified that could cause a risk to residents living behind the shop.

End of audit.

### Extent of premises audited

Full inspection of premises was carried out.

### Justification of audit outcome

Verbal advice given to the R.P on the following:-

- \* Use a competent person to carry out a fire risk assessment
- \* Consider fire detection for business continuity.
- \* Consider fire safety training for staff members to include the safe use of deep fat fryers.
- \* Consider a wet chemical fire extinguisher.
- \* Copies of a TR19 certificate should be made available upon request.

I agreed on the outcome of Low risk/Verbal advice for the premises.

**Verbal Advice Given**

None recorded

**Other Authorities to notify**

None

**Weeks to Complete Work**

N/A

**Specific instructions for Admin to Action**

None

**Compliance calculation & signature**

<b>Compliance Level</b>	1 - Well above average
<b>Property Risk Group</b>	C - Public unfamiliar
<b>Life Risk</b>	-6.9
<b>Actual Risk Score</b>	3.96
<b>Risk Score</b>	4.00

**Audit Timings**

**Audit Duration**  
60

**Travel Time**  
120

**Post Audit Processing Duration**  
180